Submission		Administration	
Suburb	Content	Response	
East Victoria Park Residential Rate Payer	Rates should be reduced. The council's performance measured on costs saved, with priority to the majority of actual ratepayers, not small minority groups.	Submission noted.	
East Victoria Park Residential Rate Payer	Have your say? So no real consideration. You are just increasing rates again. If you can't balance your budget it's the ratepayers that foot the bill. How about cutting out a lot of the rubbish things you are doing. Cut back your overheads first before you stick it everyone else. Where is the report on how you will save money in the coming year?	The Town is experiencing large price increases in many core contracts for materials, utilities, insurance, services and capital works. A lower increase was considered during the budgetary process, however this would limit Town's ability to achieve the outcomes needed to continue maintaining the Town's assets and achieving the strategic community plan.	
		The Town seeks external funding where possible for projects, however, this is not always possible depending in the nature of the project.	
Carlisle	Stop what we can't afford. A 200% raise on bulk verge collection	Submission noted.	
Residential Rate Payer	is unreasonable and makes this service unjustifiable. Look at alternatives. Tip passes, skip bins or do the suburb in quarters only provide the service to a part of the suburb each 4 years. "Cycle path" is ridiculous the only thing with wheels I have seen in it is a car stuck. I'm all for adding to the suburb and generating jobs but it has to be quality, with purpose and the cost justified. Please be responsible when spending.		
Anonymous	An 8% increase in rates in this cost of living crisis is an astonishingly bad decision for ratepayers. This is on top of over 5% rise last year and over 3% the year before. We can not afford it. Last year's increase was above other neighbouring councils, e.g. Belmont has a 3.5% rise, after a similar rise last year. Belmont provides superior services for less - proposed residential rating for 2024/25 is 6.32 cents, compared to you 9.058 cents. This is a huge difference from several years of	The net rate increase for the Town over the past five years is a total of 2.4%, in comparison with the producer price index was a total of 15.9% over the same period. The rate in the dollar is consistent throughout the Town though it differs by the type of property (residential, non- residential and vacant). This figure is multiplied by the gross rental value (GRV) that is provided by Landgate and	

	1	
	large rate increases by ToVP. Your reasoning is that the GRV has	revalued every three years to reflect changes in the market.
	increased after valuations. Can you provide a breakdown	This method determined by the Department of Local
	of value increased by suburb? Carlisle property prices don't	Government, Sport and Cultural Industries to create a
	seem to have risen anywhere near as much as the other	equitable and consistent way of calculating rates.
	suburbs and we are being unfairly penalised by these rates. The	
	other reason given for such a high interest rise is an	
	increase in service price, particularly waste removal. This is	
	particularly galling considering our area had bulk waste sitting in	
	the streets for almost a full month before it was collected this	
	week. Clearly the system is not working and needs to be	
	rethought out. Capital investments in Carlisle have been very	
	disappointing. The bike lane on Archer St is a disaster and will	
	cost a huge amount of money going forward creating a facility	
	that doesn't make a lot of logical sense. The bike path is	
	meant to transition into a shared path in the town centre,	
	where the works are meant to be complete, but its not fit for	
	this purpose. The Carlisle town centre project itself is grey and	
	ugly and did not provide a good return for residents. Huge	
	amounts of money are funnelled into Lathlain developments to	
	benefit football, and projects in Carlisle are not well thought	
	out and provide minimal amenity. Given this history of	
	mismanaging capital projects I do not want such a huge rate	
	rise paying for these projects. We cannot afford it. The rates	
	also increase a 4.5% salary increase. Again, galling considering I	
	havent received a pay increase in years. We would be better off	
	.moving to Belmont, with similar house prices, better	
	services and far less rates, but we can't afford to move. Please	
	stop wasting money on councillors' passion projects and	
	deliver a decent service to your ratepayers. I would support a	
	maximum of 3.5% rate increase.	
Lathlain	With the cost of living crisis the rate increase seems excessive. I	The Town is experiencing large price increases in many core
Residential Rate Payer	believe that we have has rate rises over the last 2	contracts for materials, utilities, insurance, services and
		capital works.

	consecutive years. A lower increase, or a cost breakdown of why this is required.	 Waste gate fees have increased by approximately 22%. Verge collection costs have increased by 90% and 200% for green and bulk waste collection. Employee costs have increased by 4.5%, as per enterprise bargaining payments and superannuation payments. Contracts have increased by between 5-15%, if not more. For example, road resurfacing and roads works have seen a 10-15% increase, park and tree maintenance costs (fertilizer, irrigation fittings, tree pruning) has increase 30-40%. Insurance costs have increase by 7-10%.
Lathlain	Council should be looking for way to reduce cost of living	Submission noted.
Residential Rate Payer	pressures for the residents of VP. A focus should be put on how	
	Council can provide the same or better service with less. If a	
	rate rise is required it must be kept as low as possible	
East Victoria Park Residential Rate Payer	An owner who is actively developing their land doesn't require <i>encouragement</i> to do so as they are already developing the land. In this instance, how do higher rates offer an incentive for	The local Government Act 1995 does not allow the Town to stop or pause rates. However, when a situation arises to delay the building process, there is a review system in place
	them to develop the vacant land? Further, as the Town has granted permission for the land to be developed, it can be assumed that it considers the development is in the best interests of the community and that the development will improve the vibrancy of the Town and neighbourhood centres. Why then, levy these ratepayers at the higher Vacant Land – GRV rate when the Town already considers their actions to be in the <i>best interests of the community</i> and <i>improving the vibrancy of the neighbourhood</i> ? For information, a similar cituation exists with the Western	allowing the ratepayer to apply to have the lower residential rates applied earlier. The Town of Victoria Park does not want to unduly penalise the ratepayer who is stuck due to circumstances outside of their control that delay the construction process.
	For information, a similar situation exists with the Western Australia Department of Finance where Land Tax is levied on	

vacant land. In this circumstance where construction of a new home is in progress in a prompt and reasonable time frame, there is an option for an owner to apply for an exemption of the Land Tax levied on the vacant land.	
Why can't this principle be applied to the Town of Victoria Park?	